

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/532,208	03/22/2000	Douglas A. Hahn	064198.0152(A00554)	8536
31625	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			BUI, BING Q	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			2642	14
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/532,208	HAHN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bing Q Bui	2642	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18,20-23,29,31-33,35 and 36</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>19,24-28,30,34,37 and 38</u> is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ejected. cted to.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) \square accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in aboonion is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received i ity documents have be t (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 17-38 are pending in the application for examination.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 18-38 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Costello et al (US Pat No. 4,510,351) and Charalambous et al (US Pat No. 5,530,744). Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 09/532,208

Art Unit: 2642

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 18, 20-23, 29, 31-33 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costello et al (US Pat No. 4,510,351) in view of Charalambous et al (US Pat No. 5,530,744), herein after referred as Costello and Charalambous.

Regarding claim 18, Costello teaches a method for providing status information (see Fig. 12 which is displayed in terminal "202" of Fig. 1) of one or more automatic call distributors (ACDs) such as SI-I – Sn-n in a network of ACD, the method comprising:

automatically retrieving traffic load information (see Fig 12) from an ACD in real-time, wherein the traffic load information includes data for multiple trunk groups (see Fig. 12, TB/NT column) connected to the ACD (see Abstract and col. 1, ln 65 – col. 2, ln 22);

generating graphical representations of respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups, based on the traffic load information from the ACD (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29); and

presenting the graphical representations of respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups in a graphical user interface (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

As cited above, Costello teaches the traffic load information is automatically retrieved in real-time instead of on a periodic basic. However, Charalambous teaches the traffic load information is automatically retrieved in real-time instead of on a periodic basic (see Abstract and col. 8, lns 49 – 65). Therefore, integrating Charalambous's teachings into the ACD management information system of Costello would have been obvious for effectively managing the ACDs' activities.

Regarding claim 20, Costello further teaches the operation of automatically retrieving traffic load information from an ACD comprises: automatically retrieving traffic load information for an inbound trunk group connected to the ACD; and automatically retrieving traffic load information for an outbound trunk group connected to the ACD (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, ln 23 - col. 11, ln 56).

Regarding claim 21, Costello further teaches the operation of automatically retrieving traffic load information from art ACD comprises: automatically retrieving traffic load information for an inter-machine trunk (IMT) that interconnects the ACD with a second ACD (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, In 23 - col. 11, In 56).

Regarding claim 22, Costello further teaches the operation of presenting the graphical representations in a graphical user interface comprises: presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the inbound trunk group in the graphical user interface; presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the outbound trunk

group in the graphical user interface; and presenting a graphical representation of traffic load for the IMT in the graphical user interface (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, ln 23 - col. 11, ln 56).

Regarding claim 23, Costello further teaches:

the operation of generating graphical representations of respective traffic loads comprises generating multiple bar graphs to depict respective traffic loads for the multiple trunk groups, based on the traffic load information from the ACD (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29); and

the operation of presenting the graphical representations in a graphical user interface comprises presenting the multiple bar graphs in the graphical user interface (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

Regarding claim 29, Costello further teaches the step of displaying information pertaining to a call center in the graphical user interface, wherein the information pertaining to the call center comprises agent staffing levels and call answering time would be obvious since it is well known in the art (see Figs 6 and 12; and col. 10, In 23 - col. 11, In 56).

Regarding claim 31, Costello further teaches the presenting a graphical representation of a traffic load for an interactive voice response (IVR) unit in the graphical user interface. (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, In 65 – col. 6, In 29).

Regarding claim 32, Costello further teaches the presenting data pertaining to an expansion port network (EPN) on a display device (see Abstract; Figs 6 and 12; and col. 5, ln 65 – col. 6, ln 29).

Page 6

As to claim 33, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 18 above, since claim 33 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 18.

As to claim 35, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 21 above, since claim 35 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 21.

As to claim 36, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 20-21 above, since claim 36 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claims 20-21.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 17 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 19, 24-28, 30, 34 and 37-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 5153909

U.S. Pat. No. 5590188

Application/Control Number: 09/532,208

Art Unit: 2642

Page 7

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Paper Number: 14

Bing Q. Bui Primary Examiner

him 2. how